



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|
| 10/616,674 | 07/10/2003 | Carl A. Forest | 023604.0102PTUS | 2594 |
| 24283 | 7590 | 03/05/2008 | | |
| PATTON BOGGS LLP 1801 CALIFORNIA STREET SUITE 4900 DENVER, CO 80202 | | | EXAMINER EBRAHIM, NABILA G | |
| | | | ART UNIT 1618 | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | MAIL DATE 03/05/2008 | DELIVERY MODE PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/616,674

Applicant(s)

FOREST, CARL A.

Examiner

NABILA G. EBRAHIM

Art Unit

1618

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) NABILA G. EBRAHIM.

(3) _____.

(2) Carl Forest.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 13 February 2008.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☒ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☒ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: _____.

Identification of prior art discussed: Sundram US 20020034562.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant discussed the oils and fats being in the base of the salad dressing and not in weight loss supplement. Applicant also discussed possible amendments to the claim wherein Applicant will further limit the claims to overcome the prior art. Finally Applicant discussed the affidavit filed and the Examiner suggested having an Expert opinion regarding the taste and preparing a side by side comparison with the closest prior art.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Nabila Ebrahim/

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required